

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	•	09/832,630	KOHNO ET AL.	N 4
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Lourdes C. Cruz	2827	
	The MAILING DATE of this communica		vith the correspondence addre	ss
THE I - Exte after - If the - If NO	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statution to prophy within the set or extended period for reply will be set or extended period for reply will be set or extended period for the set or e	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ication. 19ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of the cory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC 1. by statute, cause the application to become become become the cory in the cory of the cory	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. NNTHS from the mailing date of this comm ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
earn	reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	r the mailing date of this communication, even	ii (iiileiy iileu, iilay teuuce any	
Status		l an 44 Anvil 2004		
1) 🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed			
2a)☐		n) This action is non-final.	attore procedution as to the a	nerits is
3)□ Disposit	Since this application is in condition followed in accordance with the practication of Claims	or allowance except for formal file e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	2.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	16113 13
•	Claim(s) 3,6,10 and 21 is/are pending	in the application.		
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are			
5)□				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 3,6,10 and 21 is/are rejected			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.		
	tion Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the			
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	ı)∏ accepted or b)∭ objected to by	the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abo	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)⊠	The proposed drawing correction filed		ed b) disapproved by the Ex	aminer.
	If approved, corrected drawings are requ			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to be	by the Examiner.		
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority d			
	2. Certified copies of the priority d	locuments have been received in	Application No. <u>09/266,652</u> .	
*	3. Copies of the certified copies o application from the Interna See the attached detailed Office action	itional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	·) .	age
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r domestic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional a	pplication).
	 a) The translation of the foreign lange Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the foreign lange. 	quage provisional application has	been received.	
Attachme				
1) Not	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	O-948) 5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-	 152)

Application/Control Number: 09/832,630

* Art Unit: 2827

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites, "via an insulating member interposed in between". This phrase is unclear, specifically "in between" makes the claim unclear since it makes it confusing to figure out as to what exactly the insulating member "is interposed in between" of.

In Claim 10, "the package" lacks antecedent basis. Also regarding claim 10, it is unclear as to what Applicant means by "heat sink member side". The claim has been examined as best understood by the examiner.

Regarding claim 21, the claim recites "the other of the first and second terminals", "the other" lacks antecedent basis in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/832,630

Art Unit: 2827

Claims 3,6,10, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kato (US 5536972).

Regarding claim 3, Kato recites a semiconductor device wherein a first terminal of an active member is connected via an electrically conductive member 30 to a heat sink member 10, and wherein a second terminal of the active element transmits heat to said heat sink member 10 via at least an insulating member 12 interposed in between, wherein a void (covering 30a) is formed between said conductive member and said insulating member.

Regarding claim 6, the heat sink member is a heat sink of a package 11 mounting the active element.

Regarding claim 21, Kato teaches a semiconductor device comprising:

A heat sink member 10 having a protrusion 30c formed thereon; an active element 5 having a plurality of terminals; and an insulating member 12 formed of a single insulating layer on the active element and connecting one of the first and second terminals to the heat sink member 10, the other of the first and second terminals 30 connecting the heat sink member via the protrusion.

Regarding claim 10, Kato teaches insulating member 12 arranged on at least one of a terminal surface of the active member or a heat sink member side.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lourdes C. Cruz whose telephone number is 703-306-5691. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:00-6:30.

Application/Control Number: 09/832,630

Art Unit: 2827

regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Talbott can be reached on 703-305-9883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

> Lourdes C. Cruz Examiner Art Unit 2827

Page 4

Lourdes Cruz March 6, 2002

> Jerome dacksøn. Primary Exeminer